

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/811,221	03/16/2001	Edward G. Tiedemann JR.	PA579C1	3117	
23696 7	590 11/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM, INC 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			SAM, PHIRIN		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/811,221	TIEDEMANN, EDWARD G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phirin Sam	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THE S	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 October 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· ·	-
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 22-27 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,23,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>24 and 27</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2001 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	ejected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	ante hava haan raasiyad	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Burn	•	Treceived in this Hadional Stage
* See the attached detailed Office-action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.
	`	
	M~`~	
Attachment(s) PHIRI	IN SAM	
* *	EVALUATED -	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	(08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 22, 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,275,478 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application's claims 22 and 25 merely broaden the scope of claims 1 and 53 of U.S. application No. 6,275,478 by eliminating "(B) receiving the plurality of power control bits at the mobile station; and (C) transmitting a message from the first mobile station ... to the first access channel and the first time offset" of claim 1 of US Patent 6,275,478 and also eliminating "(B) determining at the base station whether ... to the first access channel and the first time offset; and (D) if a mobile station failed ... and a second time offset associated

Art Unit: 2661

with the first access channel." of claim 53 of US Patent 6,275,478. It has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 36 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969); Omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Regarding claims 23 and 26, the instant application claims merely broaden the scope of claim 1 of U.S. application No. 6,275,478 by eliminating "(A) transmitting a power control information packet formed from a plurality of power control bits from the base station to said one or more mobile stations," and "(C) transmitting a message from the first mobile station to the base station ... and the first position is mapped to the first access channel and the first time offset". It has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPO 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Regarding claims 22, 23, 25, and 26, the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in claims of the US Patent above. Therefore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,221

Art Unit: 2661

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

4. Claims 24 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The

examiner can normally be reached on a compress schedule, from 8:00-5:30, first Wed off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 15, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER